Sanford School of Medicine Pillar 2 Evaluation of Student Triple Jump Exercise

Student Evaluated		D	ate _											
Evaluator										-				
The object of the "Triple Jump" is to encourage the stude evaluation of the patient's illness. The assessor should us properly pursued the problem.														
Initial Hypotheses Generation: Adequate interpretation of presented information and appropriate basis developed to assess the problem	Exceptional			Exceptional			Good			Acceptable		ceptable Unsat		isfacto
Demonstrates understanding of key physiological or pathological mechanisms involved in the problem	100%	95%	91%	89%	85%	81%	79%	75%	71%	65%	0%			
Comments:														
Data-gathering: The proficiency of the student in asking appropriate and sufficient questions and properly directing the history and physical and laboratory examination to substantiate the hypotheses	Exceptional		Good			Acceptable			Unsatisfactor					
Critical positive and negative findings of history and physical examination and laboratory evaluation elicited and correctly interpreted	100%	95%	91%	89%	85%	81%	79%	75%	71%	65%	0%			
Requested laboratory investigations were appropriate and showed consideration of cost/risk and predictive value	100%	95%	91%	89%	85%	81%	79%	75%	71%	65%	0%			
Comments:														
											<u> </u>			
Problem Formulation (Final Hypothesis): The development of a pertinent and adequate problem list – differential diagnosis	Exceptional			Good			Acceptable			Unsatisfactor				
Final problem formulation adequately characterized patient's problem(s)	100%	95%	91%	89%	85%	81%	79%	75%	71%	65%	0%			
Comments:														
											_			
														

For the following items you are to <u>first</u> request the student's self-assessment of her/his performance, then make your assessment of the student's performance, and finally, share your assessment with the student	Exceptional			Good			Acceptable			Unsatisfactory		
Priority Setting: Student's ability to establish clear and	100%	95%	91%	89%	85%	81%	79%	75%	71%	65%	0%	
appropriate priorities for self-study.	100%	95%	91%	89%	85%	81%	79%	75%	71%	05%	0%	
Quality of resources consulted	100%	95%	91%	89%	85%	81%	79%	75%	71%	65%	0%	
Time and resources used efficiently	100%	95%	91%	89%	85%	81%	79%	75%	71%	65%	0%	
Student's assessment of his/her ability to efficiently find and interpret appropriate resource material	100%	95%	91%	89%	85%	81%	79%	75%	71%	65%	0%	
Comments:												
	Exceptional		Good			Acceptable			Unsatisfactory			
Synthesis: Deductive reasoning – student's ability to combine the parts of a problem in order to form a solution	Ехс	eption	ıal		Good		Ad	cceptak	ole	Unsati	sfactory	
		•	91%	89%	Good 85%	81%	A 0				sfactory	
Deductive reasoning – student's ability to combine the parts of a problem in order to form a solution	100%	95%	91%			81%					•	
Deductive reasoning – student's ability to combine the parts of a problem in order to form a solution Demonstrated critical thinking and conceptual understanding	100%	95%	91%			81%	79%		71%	65%	0%	
Deductive reasoning – student's ability to combine the parts of a problem in order to form a solution Demonstrated critical thinking and conceptual understanding Comments: Self-Assessment:	100%	95%	91%		85%	81%	79%	75%	71%	65%	•	

Self-Directed Learning: